HALACHIC AND HASHKAFIC ISSUES IN CONTEMPORARY SOCIETY

SERIES 2: 85 - ARMY EXEMPTIONS FOR YESHIVA STUDENTS: PART 2 OU ISRAEL CENTER - WINTER 2024

- In Part 1 we began to look at some of the halachic and hashkafic issues surrounding the draft of Torah scholars into the Israeli army¹.
- We examined the halachic definition of a Milchemet Mitzva and whether the broad conscription for such a war, which includes event chatan and kalla being taken from their chupa, also includes Torah scholars.
- We also quoted extensively from Rav Aharon Lichtenstein concerning the mitzva and opportunity in combining Torah learning and military service².
- In this shiur we will look at a central halachic/hashkafic issue which is quoted as justification for the army exemption of Torah scholars. We will also examine a number of Aggadic sources which are also frequently cited by those who support the exemption.

A] THE MODEL OF THE TRIBE OF LEVI

- Of the tribes of Israel, Levi received special treatment due to their refusal to participate in the Sin of the Golden Calf. They were chosen to be a tribe³ dedicated to spiritual matters, without the responsibilities of working the land and earning a living.
- Instead, they were supported financial by the rest of the people through the gift of Ma'aser Rishon 10% of all produce.
- Although this arrangement was effectively ended at the first exile to Bavel and the entitlement to Ma'aser Rishon annulled by Ezra on the return to Zion, the model of a 'spiritual' tribe supported by the rest of the nation remains.

ַניְהָיוּ בָּל־הַפְּקֻדִּׁים שַׁשֹּ־מֵאְוֹת אֶלֶף וּשְׁלְשֶׁת אֲלָפֵים וַחֲמֵשׁ מֵאְוֹת וַחֲמִשִּׁים: וְהַלְוִיּסִ לְמַשֵּׁה אֲבֹתֶם לְא הָתְפָּקְדוּ בְּתוֹכֶם: וַיְדַבֵּר הָי אֶל־מֹשֵׁה לֵאמְר: אַדְּ אֶת־מַשֵּה לֵוִי לְא תִּפְלָּד וְאֶת־רֹאשָׁם לָא תִּשְּׂא בְּתִוֹדְ בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל.

במדבר א:מו-מי

The Torah stresses that the Levi'im were <u>not</u> counted⁴ together with the rest of the Jewish people.

1. **והלוים למטה אבותם לא התפקדו בתוכם** – כמו שמפרש והולך *אך את מטה לוי לא תפקוד* וגו'. ומפרש טעם – כי <u>לא ילכו בצבא</u> <u>המלחמה</u> אלא הפקד את הלוים על משכן העדות וגו'

שב"ם במדבר א:מ

3.

The Rashbam explains that the exclusion of the Levi'im from the main count indicates that they will NOT go to war with the rest of the people but must work in the Mishkan.

יב וְלָמָה לֹא זָכָה לֵוִי בְּנַחֲלַת אֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל וּבְבִזָּתָהּ עִם אֶחָיויִ מִפְּנֵי שָׁהֻבְדֵּל לַעֲבֹד אֶת ה' לְשָׁרְתוֹ וּלְהוֹרוֹת דְּרָכִיוֹ הַיְשַׁרִים וּמְשָׁבָּטִיוֹ הַצַּדִיקִים לַרַבִּים שָׁנָאֱמַר (דברים לגיּי) *יוֹרוּ מִשְׁפָּטֵיךּ לְיַעֵקבׁ וְתוֹרְתְדּ לְיִשְׂרָאֵל*. לְפִיכָדְ הַבְּדְּלוּ מִדְּרְכֵי הָעוֹלָם **לֹא עוֹרְכִין** מְלָשְׁרָּכִי הָעוֹלָם לֹא עוֹרְכִי לְשִׁבְּים שָׁנָאֱמַר (דברים לגיּא) בָּרֵדְ ה' חֵילוֹ. וְהוּא בָּרוּדְ מִלְחָמָה בִּשְׁאָר יִשְׂרָאֵל וְלֹא נוֹחֲלִין וְלֹא זוֹכִין לְעַצְמֶן בְּכֹחַ גּוּפָּן. אֶלָא הֵם חֵיל הַשָּׁם שְׁנָאֱמֵר (דברים לגיּא) בַּרְדְּ ה' חֵילוֹ. וְהוּא בָּרוּדְ הוֹא זֹוֹכֶי לְעָבְקְרְּיְבָּ.

יג ְוְלֹא שֵׁבֶט לֵוִי בִּלְבַד. אֶלֶא כָּל אִישׁ וְאִישׁ מִכָּל בָּאֵי הָעוֹלָם אֲשֶׁר נָדְבָה רוּחוֹ אוֹתוֹ, וֶהֲבִינוֹ מַדָּעוֹ לְהַבָּדֵל לַעֲמֹד לְפְנֵי ה' לְשָׁרְתוֹ וּלְעָבְדוֹ לְדַעָה אֶת ה', וְהָלַדְּ יָשֶׁר כְּמוֹ שֶׁעֲשָׁהוּ הָאֱלֹהִים, וּפָרַק מֵעַל צַוָּארוֹ עַל הַחֶשְׁבּוֹנוֹת הָרַבִּים אֲשֶׁר בִּקְשׁוּ בְּנֵי הָאֶדָם - **הַרִי זֶה נִתְקַדִּשׁ קֹדֶשׁ קֹדְשִׁים** וְיִהְיֶה ה' חֶלְקוֹ וְנָחֲלָתוֹ לְעוֹלָם וּלְעוֹלְמֵי עוֹלָמִים. וְיִזְכֶּה לֹּ בָּעוֹלָם הַאָּלוֹם אוֹמֵר (תחילים טוּה) ה*' מָנַת חֵלְקִי וְבוֹסִי אַתַּה תּוֹמִידְ גּוֹרְלִי.* שַׁצָּרָה לַכּּחַנִים לַלוִיִם. הֵרִי דָּוִד עַלָיוֹ הַשָּׁלוֹם אוֹמֵר (תחילים טוּה) ה*' מָנַת חֵלְקִי וְבוֹסִי אַתַּה תּוֹמִידְ גּוֹרְלִי.*

רמב"ם הלכות שמיטה ויובל פרק יג הלכה יג

^{1.} Since last week's shiur, Rav Tamir Geranot, Rosh Yeshiva of Orot Shmuel in Tel Aviv, has posted a very powerful response to Rav Yitzchak Yosef's recent controversial comments opposing the draft of Torah scholars. Rav Granot's son, Amitai Hy'd, was recently killed on the Lebanese front. The short video (in Hebrew) is highly recommended - see https://youtu.be/-4boCkW-r30?feature=shared

^{2.} There was one remaining section at the end of the Part 1 which we did not have the opportunity to address in the pervious shiur. We will be'H include this in the third and final part.

 $^{{\}it 3.} \quad \text{Levi effectively became the `13th tribe' once the tribe of Yosef was split into a double portion of Ephraim and Menashe,}$

^{4.} The Torah first says that Moshe did not count them and then God commands him not to count them! The Ramban (Bamidbar 1:47) explains that Moshe originally left them out of the count since God had not named a specific Nasi for the tribe of Levi at the beginning of the parasha. But Moshe was still unsure what to do with them, so God confirmed that they should not be counted.

4.

The Rambam describes the holy role of the Levi'im and Cohanim who were set apart from the rest of the people for a spiritual life. This meant that they did not own land with all the responsibilities this entails and they also did not go out to fight in the wars of the Jewish nation. Furthermore, Rambam maintains that this levitical role can be undertaken by others from outside that tribe. If they choose to dedicate their life to Torah, they can throw off the obligation of making a living and normal civic life and opt to be maintained by others. Such a life is called 'kodesh kedoshim'.

• Many in the Charedi community quote this Rambam as a source which validates their community choosing to live a life dedicated to Torah study only and be supported in this by the by the wider community. Also, like the holy tribe of Levi, they assert that this would exempt them from fighting in the Jewish army.

בָּל הַמֵּשִׂים עַל לִבּוֹ שַׁיַּעֲסֹק בַּתּוֹרָה וְלֹא יַעֲשֶׂה מְלָאכָה וְיִתְפַּרְנֵס מִן הַצְּדָקָה - הְּרֵי זֶה חִלֵּל אֶת הַשֵּׁם וּבִזָּה אֶת הַתּוֹרָה וְכִבָּה מֵאוֹר הַדָּת וְגָרָם רָעָה לְעַצְמוֹ וְנָטַל חַיָּיו מִן הָעוֹלָם הַבָּא. לְפִי שָׁאָסוּר לֵהָנוֹת מִדְּבְרֵי תּוֹרָה בָּעוֹלָם הַזֶּה. אָמְרוּ מִשנה אבות דּהּ) 'אַל תַּעֲשֵׁם עֲטָרָה לְהִתְּגַּדֵּל בָּהֶן וְלֹא קַרְדֹם דִּה 'כִּלְ הַנְּהֶבְי תִּוֹרָה נָטַל חַיָּיו מִן הָעוֹלָם'. וְעוֹד צִוּוּ וְאָמְרוּ (משנה אבות דּהּ) 'אַל תַּעֲשֵׁם עֲטָרָה לְהִתְּגַדֵּל בָּהֶן וְלֹא קַרְדֹם לַחְפֹּר בָּהֶן'. וְעוֹד צִוּוּ וְאָמְרוּ (משנה אבות איּי) 'אֲהֹב אֶת הַמְּלָאכָה וּשְׂנָא אֶת הָרַבְּנוּת', (משנה אבות בּיב) 'וְכָל תּוֹרָה שָׁאֵין עִמָּהּ מְלָאכָה סוֹבָּה בְּטֵלָה וְגוֹרֵרת עַוֹן'. וְסוֹף אָדָם זֵה שַׁיָּהָא מִלַּסְטֵם אֶת הַבְּרִיּוֹת.

רמב"ם הלכות תלמוד תורה פרק ג הלכה י

However, elsewhere⁵ the Rambam explicitly rules that a person who chooses to learn Torah rather than work, and is supported by tzedaka, is a chilul Hashem and a disgrace to Torah⁶. The Rambam quotes from the many statements of Chazal in Pirkei Avot which indicate that one may <u>not</u> receive money in exchange for learning or teaching Torah. Ultimately, a person who learns Torah without meaningful employment will end up cheating and stealing from others.

- How does one reconcile these two apparently opposing positions of the Rambam?
- Most poskim agree that the halacha does NOT follow the the Rambam on the issue of taking money for learning/teaching Torah. But is it clear that the halacha IS like the Rambam concerning the 'voluntary Levi'?
- לא עורכין מלחמה כשאר ישראל ויש מסתפקים דגם כל הכהנים ולוים הלכו למלחמה. ואפשר דגם דעת רבנו כך שאין חייבים לילך אבל אם רוצים יכולים לילך.

כל איש... אשר נדבה רוחו לעמוד לפני ה' לשרתו – משמע דגם ת"ח אין יוצאין למלחמה כמו שבט לוי. וכן אמרו בגמ' (נדרים לב.) 'מפני מה נענש אברהם אבינו ונשתעבדו בניו למצרים ר"י שנים! מפני שעשה אנגריא בתלמידי חכמים " - פי' הר"ן שהוליכן למלחמה. עוד אמרו 'מפני מה נענש אסא ברגליו! (סוטהי) מפני שעשה אנגריא בתלמידי חכמים שנא' והמלך אסא השמיע את כל יהודה אין נקי':

נתקדש קודש קדשים ויהיה ה' חלקו ויזכה לו בעוה"ז דבר המספיק לו – אין כוונת רבנו שיקח מהבריות, שהרי בפ"ג מת"ת ה"י התרעם ע"ז הרבה. אלא כונתו שיעשה השתדלות מועטת והקב"ה ישלח ברכה במעשה ידיו ויוכל להתפרנס בכל מה שצריך לו.

דרך אמונה, הלכות שמיטה ויובל פרק יג

Rav Chaim Kanievsky suggests a number of chiddushim based on the Rambam's halachot above: (i) that the Cohanim and Levi'im were exempt from the army but they could enlist if they wished; (ii) that Torah scholars have the same halacha as the Levi'im (and presumably could therefore also enlist if they wished?⁷); (iii) that the Rambam's critique of scholars who received funds from the community only relates to those who refuse to put in any 'hishtadlut'.

A1] THE MANY VERSUS THE FEW

- Another possible resolution of the Rambam's positions is that his category of the 'voluntary Levi' who becomes *kodesh kedoshim* is only intended for the very select few who can live on that level. Those who are not on that level, but seek to act as if they were, fall within the Rambam's second din of *chilul Hashem*
- The Rambam also makes it clear that the role of the Levi is to teach Torah to the wider Jewish community. Presumably, this is also a condition to become a 'volunteer Levi'. If so, how should this be fulfilled today? Writing sefarim? Teaching shiurim? Doing kiruy?
- The Levi'im are also not allowed to own land. How would this apply to the 'volunteer Levi'? Could a person own an apartment (or more than one) worth millions of shekels and still claim to be in this group?
- How many people constitute the few? The tribe of Levi numbered in Bamidbar were 22,000 out of 603,550 or 3.65%. Of the estimated 15.7 million Jews in the world today, this would come to 549,500. Of the estimated 2 million Orthodox Jews in the world today, this would come to 73,000. There are around 150,000 Charedi men studying in yeshivot and kollelim in Israel today.

^{5.} See also Rambam's commentary to Avot 4:5. For a fuller discussion see Sefer Hatashbetz 1:142-148 (https://www.sefaria.org.il/Sefer_HaTashbetz%2C_Part_I.142.1?lang=en).

^{6.} On specific question of the Rambam's insistence that one may not receive money for teaching or learning Torah, the approach of later poskim was less strict, See Kesef Mishna (Talmud Torah 3;10), Shu't Tashbetz 1:142 and Rema YD 246:21. Later poskim were even insistent that one should accept money for learning and teaching if it would otherwise lead to bitul torah. See Yam Shel Shlomo Chullin 3:9, Taz YD 246:7, Igrot Moshe YD 2:116, Yabia Omer YD 7:17 and Ma'aseh Rav (Sheilta 50).

^{7.} Rav Kanievsky cites the statements of Chazal which criticize forced constriction of Torah scholars, again suggesting that enlisting voluntarily could be acceptable.

^{8.} Based on https://en.idi.org.il/charedi/2022/?chapter=48264. The number in 2021 was 138,367.

6 ואספת דגנך (דברים יאיִד) - מה תלמוד לומר! - לפי שנאמר: (יהושע איּח) לא ימוש ספר התורה הזה מפיך, יכול דברים ככתבן! תלמוד לומר: ואספת דגנך - הנהג בהן מנהג דרך ארץ, דברי רבי ישמעאל. רבי שמעון בן יוחי אומר: אפשר אדם חורש בשעת חרישה, וזורע בשעת זריעה, וקוצר בשעת קצירה, ודש בשעת דישה, וזורה בשעת הרוח, תורה מה תהא עליה! אלא: בזמן שישראל עושין רצונו של מקום - מלאכתן נעשית על ידי אחרים, שנאמר: (ישעיהו סאיה) ועמדו זרים ורעו צאנכם וגו'. ובזמן שאין ישראל עושין רצונו של מקום - מלאכתן נעשית על ידי עצמן, שנאמר: ואספת דגנך; ולא עוד, אלא שמלאכת אחרים נעשית על ידן, שנאמר: (דברים כחימח) ועבדת את אויבך וגו'. אמר אביי: הרבה עשו כרבי ישמעאל - ועלתה בידן, כרבי שמעון בן יוחי - ולא עלתה בידן.

ברכות לה:

Every Jew is required to know the whole Torah. Yet without money how can a person live? Chazal discuss the most appropriate mix of Torah and other activities which are needed to make a living. We see two divergent views here. Rabbi Yishmael says that the Torah mandates a combination of Torah and 'Derech Eretz' - here meaning the activities that go into making a living. He does not however seek to define here the balance of Torah and other activities. Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai (Rashbi) rejects this and sees the model for a Jewish life as one entirely of Torah. To have to work for a living is a punishment which comes about due to our sins. The Gemara does not rule between the two views but cryptically states that, for the majority of people, Rashbi's model will not work practically.

אח"כ ילך לעסקיו, דכל תורה שאין עמה מלאכה סופה בטלה וגוררת עון.

שולחן ערוך אורח חיים סימן קנו:א

The Shulchan Aruch clearly follows the position of Rabbi Yishmael and rules that a person should go out to work since Torah cannot be maintained without work, and a lack of employment will bring a person to sin.

שופה בטלה וגוררת עון - כתבו הספרים שזהו נאמר לכלל העולם. שאין כולם יכולים לזכות לעלות למדרגה רמה זו להיות עסקם רק בתורה לבדה. אבל אנשים יחידים יוכל להמלא בכל עת באופן זה. וזהו שאמרו בברכות לה: 'הרבה עשו כרשב"י ולא עלתה בידן' – ר"ל דוקא הרבה. ובפרט אם כבר נמלאו אנשים שרולים להספיק לו לרכיו כדי שיעסוק בתורה בודאי לא שייך זה. ויששכר וזבלון יוכיח

ביאור הלכה סימן קנו

The Mishna Berura qualifies the general rule of 'Torah and Derech Eretz' proposed by R. Yishmael and views this as applicable only to the masses. But individuals may be able (and therefore obliged?) to act in accordance with Rashbi and to dedicate their lives to Torah and to avoid involvement in anything else.

9. אבל יחיד לעצמו שאפשר לו להיות אך עסוק כל ימיו בתורתו ובעבודתו ... ודאי שחובה מוטלת עליו שלא יפרוש אף זמן מועט מתורה ועבודה לעסוק פרנסה ח'ו וכדעת רשב׳י.

נפש החיים חלק א פרק ח ד׳ה ואמרו

The Nefesh Hachayim (talmid of the Vilna Gaon) writes that a person who can dedicate his life to Torah only <u>must</u> do so, but must be careful never to take any unnecessary time off from such holy pursuits.

- How does this relate to the realities of those in full time kollel/yeshiva learning who take time off during bein hazemanim etc. Of course, no one would or should be critical if people want to take a break or vacation, but how does it fit with this special category of the 'voluntary Levi' who is kodesh kedoshim?
- 10. ... the Rambam sets a very high standard, indeed. He presents an idealized portrait of a selfless, atemporal, almost ethereal person one whose spirit and intelligence have led him to divest himself of all worldly concerns

 To how large a segment of the Torah community or, a fortiori, of any community does this lofty typology apply? Two percent? Five percent? Can anyone who has negotiated the terms of a salary confront a mirror and tell himself that he ought not to go the army because he is kodesh kodashim, sanctum sanctorum, in the Rambam's terms? Can anyone with even a touch of vanity or a concern for kavod contend this? Lest I be misunderstood, let me state clearly that I have no quarrel with economic aspirations or with normal human foibles per se. Again, least of all do I wish to single out b'nei yeshivot for undeserved moral censure. I do feel, however, that those who would single themselves out for exemption from normal duties on the grounds of saintliness should examine their credentials by the proper standard.

The Ideology of Hesder, Rav Aharon Lichtenstein, Tradition, 19(3), Fall 1981 p. 212

A2] HOW AUTHORITATIVE IS THE RAMBAM'S MODEL OF THE 'VOLUNTARY LEVI'?

• Many mefarshim have questioned the source for the Rambam's rulings at the end of Hilchot Shemita9.

אַלף לַמַּטֶּה אָלֶף לַמַּטֶּה לְכֹל מַטָּוֹת יְשָׁרָאֵל תִּשְׁלְחוּ לַצַּבַא:

במדבר פרק לא פסוק ד (פרשת מטות)

In the war against Midyan Moshe explicitly commands the people to take 1000 soldiers from 'each tribe'.

(ד) לכל מטות ישראל - לרצות שבט לוי.

רש"י שם

Rashi understands¹⁰ that this comes to INCLUDE the tribe of Levi¹¹.

חזקוני במדבר יגיטי

Chizkuni notes that the tribe of Levi were not sent out to spy the land since they were not going to take a portion of the land¹². As such, it also makes sense that they would NOT have to fight in the conquest for Eretz Yisrael, but would have to fight in the war against Midyan, which was not directly related to the conquest of the land.

(ד) לכל מטות ישראל - אפילו משבט של לוי שכוונתם לרעה היתה תלויה לכל ישראל.

חזקוני במדבר לא:ד

This is explicitly stated by the Chizkuni.

- Note the Mishna in Sota (44a) concerning a Cohen who returns from the war due to the sin of marrying a divorcee or a Cohen Gadol for marrying a widow. This assumes that even the holiest Jew in the nation would go out to fight.
- Note also Kiddushin 21b which discusses the case of a Cohen marrying an *eshet yefat toar*. Again, this assumes that the Cohanim DID fight¹³ in the Jewish army¹⁴.
- Even if the Levi'im did not fight in the army, how clear is the extension of this category to the 'voluntary Levi'. Rambam brings the paradigm of the 'voluntary Levi' as David. Yet David DID fight in the army? OR did he?

15. דאמר רבי אבא בר כהנא: אילמלא דוד לא עשה יואב מלחמה, ואילמלא יואב לא עסק דוד בתורה. דכתיב (שמואל ב' חּיטו-טז) וַיְהָי דָוֹד עשֶׂה מִשְׁפָּט וּצְדָקָה לְכָל־עַמְוֹ. וְיוֹאֲב בֶּן־צְרוּיָה עַל־הַצְּבֵא. מה טעם דוד <u>עשה משפט וצדקה</u> לכל עמו? משום דיואב על הצבא. ומה טעם יואב על הצבא? משום דדוד עשה משפט וצדקה לכל עמו.

סנהדרין מט

Chazal understand that David was actually able to continue learning Torah since Yoav was general of the army fighting the war. David's learning supported by Yoav's fighting and vice versa.

- 9. Although these halachot are not introduced by the words 'yirah li' which the Rambam usually uses when suggesting a halachic position without a source in Chazal, some mefarshim nevertheless understand that the Rambam said these from his own sevara (see Radvaz ibid). The Ohr Sameyach (Shu't 1:67) suggests that the source could be chapter 1 of Bamidbar where the tribes are counted for going out to war 'kol yotzei tzava' but the Levi'im are counted separately in chapter 3 without the use of this phrase. The Ibn Ezra Bamidbar 1:50 and Rashbam Bamidbar 1:47 explicitly state that the Levi'im did not go to war with the other tribes.
- 10. Rashi's source is the Sifrei (Matot, 157) and follows the nusach in the standard version להביא את שבטו של לוי. However in the Vilna Gaon's version of the Sifrei the wording is להביא את שבטו של לוי to exclude the tribe of Levi. This would support the Rambam's position. On that basis, the exemption of Levi would even apply in a milchemet mitzva, since the war against Midyan was such.
- 11. See R. Eliezer Mizrachi who explains that the tribe of Yosef was only split into Ephraim and Menashe for the division of the land. For the army, Yosef was considered one tribe.
- 12. Rav Yuval Sherlow also notes that the support by the nation for Levi was based on their understanding that the Levi'im performed an essential service to the nation. As such, there was a kind of 'social contract' between the people and the Levi'im. Today, in Israel, the broader populous does not recognize the contribution of the Torah scholars and is being required to support an endeavor that they oppose. This goes to the deeper question of democracy and the electoral system in Israel and whether the views of the people are being properly represented.
- 13. The Mordechai (Gittin chap 7) proves from this that the Cohanim did go out to battle. See Birkei Yosef EH 6:6 who discusses whether the Rambam could reconcile his position with these sources on the basis that Levi'im were exempt from the army but permitted to volunteer. See also Minchat Chinuch 526.
- 14. A separate question arose during WWI when, in 1916, R. Joseph H. Hertz, Chief Rabbi of the British Empire, was asked by the British government whether Cohanim should be given religious exemptions from the military draft. He replied no, which began a major debate on this issue. The Leeds Beth Din publicly objected to the Chief Rabbi's ruling for two reasons (i) a Cohen is forbidden to become impure from dead bodies and this would be impossible to avoid in the war; (ii) a Cohen who kills is no longer permitted to give bircat Cohanim and duchan. Rabbi Hertz disputed the arguments of the Leeds Beth Din, although the latter were supported by a young Rav Yitzchak Herzog, who had grown up in Leeds and was then serving as a Rav in Belfast. Rav Herzog added an argument from Rambam that the tribe of Levi, including Cohanim, do not serve in the army. Rabbi Hertz responded with four counter-arguments: (i) a Cohen can become impure in war in order to save lives; (ii) the Hasmoneans were Cohanim and fought in battle; (iii) the Talmud Yerushalmi (Nazir 7:1) states that R. Chiya was a Cohen but would become impure to honor the king. Serving in the king's army is similar; and (iv) the Cohen mashu'ach milchama, who spoke to the troops before war, sent home a number of groups but there is no mention of sending back all the Cohanim. I am grateful to Harry Brukner for pointing out this issue to me. See https://www.torahmusings.com/2024/02/the-kohen-soldier/ for more details.
- 15. In fact, David was not simply learning Torah, but 'dispensing kindness and justice'. As such, he was also actively involved in directly serving the people and not only providing an indirect merit to the nation through his Torah learning.

16. Admittedly, in this Gemara the case for spiritual exemption and the division of functions appears more clearly articulated. ... however the engagement in Torah of which it speaks does not refer to purely contemplative study alone but to implementation as well through the molding of a just and fair society. Above all, however, this source is of little use to our critics on the right because of its very protagonist. If indeed they wish to posit David, the heroic and sensitive soldier-scholar-poet-Notary whom Hazal have so graphically portrayed in numerous contexts, as the prototype of the contemporary Israeli *ben torah*, I shall have little quarrel with them.

The Ideology of Hesder, Rav Aharon Lichtenstein, Tradition, 19(3), Fall 1981 p. 213

17. *Prima facie*, these lines seem to sanction, in principle, a *ben Torali*'s total divorce from military service. In truth, however, they are of little, if any, relevance to our subject. On one level, there arises the obvious difficulty of squaring this statement both with the Rambam's personal history and with his repeated vehement critiques of those who exploit the study of Torah to worldly advantage by abstaining from all gainful activity in the expectation that they will be supported by the public treasury. Even if we confine ourselves to this text, however, we shall find that its presumed sanction is weak, at best.

First, the initial postulate – that every Levite enjoys a dispensation from army duty, has no source in Hazal. On the contrary, it contravenes the evident purport of the mishnah in Sotah - "But in [case of] wars of mitzvah, all go out, even a groom from his [wedding] room and a bride from her wedding chamber." - and, as many have noted, if understood as a total bar from army service, appears to be clearly contradicted by a Gemara in Kiddushin. Would or should b'nei torah readily lean upon such a thin reed in order to exempt themselves from, say, lulavor shofar?

Secondly, it seems most unlikely that this statement is indeed all it's presumed to be. If the Rambam had truly intended to postulate a categorical dispensation for *b'nei levi* or *b'nei torah*, would he have gone about presenting and formulating it in this manner and context? Given his sharply honed discipline and sense of order, would he not have cited it in *Hilkhot Melakhim u'Milhamoteihem* (to cite the full rubric) together with all the laws of warfare rather than as a peroration to Sefer Zeraim? The implication is clear. What we have here is a hortatory coda, analogous to the conclusions of many of the books of the Mishneh Torah - which, of course, is to be given full weight as such (it is, after all, the Rambam's) - but is not to be confused with a clear halakhic mandate ¹⁶. It provides a vivid evaluation of an inspiring personality but does not dictate how it or others should act.

Even if this contention is rejected, however, the Rambam's statement remains largely irrelevant to the contemporary problem of hesder. For it should be noted, thirdly, that the spirituality of the Levi does not preclude military service entirely. It only absolves him from waging war "like the rest of Israel." At most, he can be exempt from the gamut of wars included within the mizvah of *milhamah per se*. This exemption has no bearing, however, upon his duty to help fight or prevent a defensive war that threatens the survival of his community and his peers. Is a spiritual order excused from saving human lives? Of course, no one would suggest that all *bnei yeshiva* stop learning and turn to cardiology. There is, however, a clear difference between abstaining from specializing in humanitarian endeavors and forgoing a universal effort. And above all, the issue is not one of suspending *talmud Torah*, God forbid, but of balancing and complementing it.

The Ideology of Hesder, Rav Aharon Lichtenstein, Tradition, 19(3), Fall 1981 p. 211

ונראה שאע״פ שאין שבט לוי עורכין מלחמה, היינו לעשות מלחמה פרטית כמו שאפשר שיזדמן ששבט אחד עושה מלחמה בשביל ההתנחלות שלו שימצא לו בזה. <u>אבל כשכל ישראל יוצאין למלחמה מחוייבים גם הם לצאת. ומלחמה</u> <u>של כלל ישראל זאת היא גם כן עבודת ד׳</u> שכל מי שהוא מיוחד יותר לעבודת ד׳ הוא שייך לה יותר משאר כל העם.

הראי"ה קוק סוף שבת הארץ

18.

Rav Kook writes that the army exemption for the tribe of Levi related only to small scale wars fought by tribes to secure their own inheritance in the Land. Nevertheless, the Levi'im DID fight in a war involving all of Klal Yisrael. In fact, in such a war it was <u>specifically</u> the responsibility of the Levi'im to fight since the war itself was an extension of their Avodat Hashem!

B] AGGADIC STATEMENTS CONCERNING TORAH SCHOLARS AND THE ARMY

B1] AVOIDING THE EGYPTIAN DRAFT

וּמִקְצֵה אֶלָיו לָקָח חֲמִשָּׁה אֲנָשַׁים וַיַּצִגֶּם לִפְנֵי פַרְעָה:

בראשית מז:ב

20.

Yosef was careful not to introduce <u>all</u> of his brothers to Paro.

(ב) ומקצה אחיו - מן הפחותים שבהם לגבורה שאין נראים גבורים. שאם יראה אותם גבורים יעשה אותם אנשי מלחמתו. ואלה הם – ראובן שמטון לוי יששכר ובנימין, אותם שלא כפל משה שמותם כשברכם. אבל שמות הגבורים כפל: (דברים לג:ז) וזאת ליהודה שמע ה' קול יהודה, (דברים לג:ם) ולגד אמר ברוך מרחיב גד, (שם כג) ולנפתלי אמר נפחלי, (שם כג) ולדן אמר דן, (שם יח) וכן לזבולן, (שם כד) וכן לאשר. זהו לשון בראשית רבה (זה:ד) שהיא אגדת ארן ישראל. אבל בתלמוד בבלית שלנו מלינו שאותם שכפל משה שמותם הם החלשים ואותן הביא לפני פרעה. ויהודה שהוכפל שמו לא הוכפל משום חלשות אלא טעם יש בדבר

רש"י בראשית מזיב

Rashi explains that he only introduced the weaker brothers to avoid Paro drafting them into his army. Rashi also points out an aggadic dispute between Bereishit Rabba (which he flags as the midrash of Eretz Yisrael) and the Talmud Bavli. According to Bereishit Rabba, the <u>stronger</u> brothers are the ones whose names were repeated in Ya'akov's beracha. According to the Bavli, the <u>weaker</u> brothers are the ones whose names were repeated in Ya'akov's beracha.

B2] THE IMPORTANCE OF TORAH LEARNING IN THE WAR EFFORT

א"ר יהושע בן לוי, מאי דכתיב: תהלים קכב:ב) *עמְדוֹת הָיָוּ רַגְלֵיֵנוּ בִּשְׁעַרַיִידְ יְרוּשָׁלָם*! מי גרם לרגלינו שיעמדו במלחמה! שערי ירושלם שהיו עוסקים בתורה.

מכות י.

R. Yehoshua ben Levi learns from Tehillim that the strength of the Jewish army to stand against its enemies is significantly dependant on the Torah being learnt in Yerushalayim!¹⁸

B3] THE IMPORTANCE OF NOT COERCING TORAH SCHOLARS TO FIGHT

אמר רבי אבהו אמר רבי אלעזר: מפני מה נענש אברהם אבינו ונשתעבדו בניו למצרים מאתים ועשר שנים! מפני שעשה אנגרייא בת"ח שנאמר: (בראשית ידיד) לַּנֶּרֶק אֶת־חֲנִילְיו יְלִידֵי בֵיתוֹ (ר"ן: שעשה אנגרייא בת"ח שנאמר: (בראשית ידיד) לַנֶּרֶק אֶת־חֲנִילְיו יְלִידֵי בֵיתוֹ (ר"ן: שעשה אנגרייא בתוד חכמים – שהוליכן למלחמה כדכחיב ויירק אם חניכיו דכיינו בני אדס שחקך לתורה). ושמואל אמר: מפני שהפריז על מדותיו של הקדוש ברוך הוא, שנא': (בראשית טוּח) בַּמְּח אֲדֻע כִּי אְיִרְשֻׁנָּה. ורבי יוחנן אמר: שהפריש בני אדם מלהכנס תחת כנפי השכינה, שנאמר: (בראשית ידיכא) הֶּנֶר־לְיַ הַנְּבֶּשׁ הַח־לֶּךְ.

נדרים לב.

One of the reasons suggested for the punishment of Avraham's descendents in slavery in Egypt is because he drafted Torah scholars into his army to fight the 4 Kings. 19

.23 אנגריא. 'אין אגרא' – שהטריחם בלא שכר. שיטה.

שיטה מקובצת שנ

However, the Shita Mekubetzet brings a view that 'angarya' does not mean that Avraham took his talmidim to war, but that he forced them to do labor without pay.

.בראשית טוּיגו*) נַייֹאָמֶר לְאַבְרָם יָדֹעַ תַּדַע כִּי־גֵר יִהְיֶה זַרְעַדְּ בְּא*ֶרְץ' לְאֹ לְהֶ*הם] וַעֲבָדִוּם -* מפני שטשה אנגריא ועבודה בת"ח.

קרן אורה שם

The Keren Ora explains the 'midda keneged midda' for Avraham's actions. Since he forced scholars into hard labor, his descendants were also forced into hard labor.

^{17.} According to Bereishit Rabba (in Eretz Yisrael), the weaker brothers included Reuven and Shimon - individuals who had proved their great military strength in Shechem! Rabbi Shalom Gold pointed out that their strength was only when in fighting in the Jewish army in Eretz Yisrael. But once they went into galut in Egypt they became weak!

^{18.} This Chazal is quoted by R. Eliezer Waldenburg in his Hilchot Medinah as a primary source that Yeshiva students do not have to serve in the IDF.

^{19.} Rabbi Jachter quotes R. Yosef Blau who asks why Chazal did not criticize Avraham himself for fighting the war, but only his draft of others. He suggests that Avraham was justified in breaking from his spiritual pursuits to fight since HIS family was in trouble and Lot had to be rescued. The other fighters were unconnected with the tragedy. If so, the question for Yeshiva students and potential draft into the IDF would be - 'is this <u>your</u> fight for <u>your</u> country'? Rabbi Blau suggests that this also explains Yosef's attempts to keep his brothers out of Paro's army; it was not their battle.

אמרו עליו על רבי אלעזר בן חרסום שהניח לו אביו אלף עיירות ביבשה, וכנגדן אלף ספינות בים. ובכל יום ויום נוטל נאד של קמח על כתיפו ומהלך מעיר לעיר וממדינה למדינה ללמוד תורה. פעם אחת מצאוהו עבדיו <u>ועשו בו אנגריא</u>. אמר להן: בבקשה מכם, הניחוני ואלך ללמוד תורה! אמרו לו: חיי רבי אלעזר בן חרסום שאין מניחין אותך! ומימיו לא הלך וראה אותן, אלא יושב ועוסק בתורה כל היום וכל הלילה.

יומא לה:

Chazal themselves explain what it means for a talmid chacham to be drafted by an 'angarya' in the story of R. Elazar ben Charsum who was immensely rich but would only live a very frugal life and learn Torah. One day his own servants did not recognize him 'and pressed him into service [angarya]'. This has nothing to do with enlisting in an army.

מפני מה נענש אסא! מפני שעשה אנגריא (רש'י: אנגריא - עבודת המלך) בתלמידי חכמים, שנאמר (מלכים א' טויכב) וְהַפֶּּלְקְּדְּ אָשְׁא בּל. הִשְׁמַיַעַ אֶת־בָּל־יְהוּדָה [אַיַן נָקֹי].

סוטה י.

Similarly, Chazal criticize King Asa for 'drafting' Talmidei Chachamim.

וּמִלְחָמָה הָיְתָה בֵּין אָסָא וּבֵין בַּעְשָׁא מֶלֶדְ יִשְׂרָאֵל כָּל יְמֵיהֶם: וַיַּעַל בַּעְשָׁא מֶלֶדְ יִשְׂרָאֵל עַל יְהוּדָה וַיִּבֶּן אֶת הָרָמָה לְבִלְתִּי בַּתּ רֹצְא וְבָּץ לְאָסָא מֶלֶדְ יְהוּדָה: וַיִּקַח אָסָא אֶת כָּל הַכֶּּסֶף וְהַיָּהָב הַנּוֹתָרִים בְּאוֹצְרוֹת בֵּית ה׳ וְאֶת אוֹצְרוֹת בֵּית הַמֶּלֶדְ וַיִּשְׁל לְאַמֹר: בְּּרִית בִּינִי וּבֵינֶךְ בַּין אָבִי וּבֵין אָבִי ּהִידְ אָל הַמֶּלֶדְ הָנָה שְׁלְחָתִי בְּינִי וְיִשְׁלָחֵם... אֶל בָּן הַדַד... מֶלֶדְ אָרֶם הַיּשֶׁב בְּדַמֶּשֶׂק לַאמֹר: בְּּרִית בִּינִי וּבִינֶךְ בַּין אָבִי וּבִין אָבִי וּבִין אָבִי וּבִין אָבִי וְיִשְׁלַח אֶת לְּךְ שֹׁחַד כָּסֶף וְזָהָב לַדְּ הָבַּרָה אֶת בְּעְשָׁא מֶלֶדְ יִשְׂרָאֵל וְיַּצְלֶה מֵעְלָי: וַיִּשְׁמֵע בָּן הַדַּד אֶל הַמֶּלֶדְ אָסָא וַיִּשְׁר אֶת בְּעְשָׁא מֶלֶדְ וְאֶת הַמְּלֶבְה בְּיוֹת אֶת בְּנִי הָנְתָה... וְהַמֶּלֶדְ אָסָא וַיִּבֶן בָּם הַמֶּלֶדְ בָּם הַמֶּלֶדְ אָסָא הַשְּמִיע אֶת בָּל יְהוּדָה אֵין נָקִי וַיִּשְׂאוּ אֶת אַבְנֵי הָרָמָה וְאֶת עֵצְיהָ אֲשֶׁר בָּנָה בַּעְשָׁא וַיִּבֶן בָּם הַמֶּלֶדְ בָּם הַמֶּלֶדְ אָסָא אֶת גָּבַע בִּנְיָמון וְאֶת הַמִּצְפָּה:

מלכים א' טו:טז-כב

In fact, the forced draft of King Asa was NOT to fight the war against Basha King of Israel but, once the war had been won, to demolish the tower Basha had built and use the stones to build other towns. As such, the forced draft of Torah scholars which Chazal criticize here was using them as slaves for menial work, not drafting them into his army.

28. **מפני מה נענש אטא מפני שעשה אנגריא בת"ח.** ומייתי לה מדכתיב השמיע את כל יהודה – לרבות ת"ח. ודקאמר אין נקי כו' הוא מלחא באנפי נפשיה – לדרוש מיניה אפילו חתן כו'. ואפשר דקאמר דגם מפני זה נענש אסא שעבר על דברי הכתוב *נקי יהיה לביחו* גו'. אף על גב דבמלחמת מלוה אמרינן בס"פ משוח מלחמה 'הכל יולאין אפילו חתן מחדרו' כו' – הכא לאו מלחמת מלוה היה ודו"ק.

מהרש"א חדושי אגדות סוטה י.

The Maharsha also points out that, even if Asa was conscripting to fight a war, this was a Milchemet Reshut - an optional war of the king. But in a Milchemet Mitzva <u>everyone</u> would be required to fight - even the scholars.

• Rav Aharon Lichtenstein points out that the above sources relate only to coercion and may not prevent Yeshiva students from voluntarily joining the army.

(יד) מִנַּי מָבִּיר יָרֱדוּ מְרָקְלְּים וּמִיְּבוּלֵּן משְׁכִים בְּשֵׁבֶט סֹפֵר: 29.

שופטים הייד

In Shirat Devorah, she praises the different groups and tribes that joined the war effort to fight against Sisera. These include the 'legislators' [mechokekim] of Machir and the 'scribes' [sofer] of Zevulun.

.30. ירדו מחוקקים - ... ומזבולן ירדו כולם ואף הסופרים - עם שאינם מלומדי מלחמה.

מצודת דוד שם

מושכים בשבט סופר - פי' החכמים והסופרים.

רז"ק שם

Both the Redak and Metzudat David understands that this refers to the Torah scholars, who joined the war to fight, even though they had not been fully trained for war.

B4] THE PRIORITY OF TORAH SCHOLARS OVER THE REST OF THE NATION

12. וכן שני גמלים שהיו עולים במעלות בית חורון ופגעו זה בזה. אם עלו שניהן - שניהן נופלין, בזה אחר זה - שניהן עולין. הא כיצד! טעונה ושאינה טעונה - תידחה שאינה טעונה מפני טעונה ...

סנהדרין לב:

The Chazon Ish famously quoted the following Gemara to Ben Gurion when agreeing the original exemption for Charedim from the IDF. If two camels heading in different directions meet on a narrow road, the loaded camel has right of way. The Chazon Ish seems to have indicated that the Torah-observant community bears the responsibility of maintaining the Torah tradition and should be given precedence before the non-observant community.

This morning I went to Bnei Brak for a meeting with the Chazon Ish. The press thought it to be a sensational visit, and I encountered crowds along the way and around his house. A group of his followers waited outside and in the nearby rooms. Yitzhak Navon was the only one who came in with me. I asked him the question to which I have yet to receive a sufficient answer from my observant friends. We are divided in different ways; in the matter at hand we are divided by our views of religious tradition. There are Jews like you and like me, how do we live together? How will we become a unit?



From the diaries of David Ben-Gurion, October 20th, 1952²⁰

- When the Chazon Ish gave the mashal of the two camels and explained that the Charedi community bore the burden of Torah and mitzvot and should be given preference, Ben-Gurion reportedly replied, "And what of the absorption of immigrants? What of security? What of establishing the State? Are these not burdens?"
- 34. PM D. Ben-Gurion met privately with Rabbi A. Y. Karelitz ("The Chazon Ish") in Bnei Brak yesterday. The purpose of the visit was to exchange general views regarding the following issue: How can observant and non-observant (Jews) live together harmoniously in the State of Israel? The question of recruiting women (to the army) was not discussed and the visit had no relation to current political matters.

Prime Minister's Office press statement, Oct 20 1952

B5] <u>CAN WE LEARN HALACHA FROM AGGADATA?</u>

ר' זעירה בשם שמואל אין מורין לא מן ההלכות <u>ולא מן האגדות</u> ולא מן התוספות אלא מן התלמוד.

תלמוד ירושלמי (וילנא) מסכת חגיגה פרק א

The Yerushalmi appears to rule that one may only learn halacha from the Talmud but not from Midrashim.²¹

36. כשם שאבדתי מבית אבא כך אבדתי ממך - וא"ת אמאי לא היה מגרשה ותהא מותרת להחזירנה? י"ל לפי שכל מעשה הגט הוא ע"פ עדים והיה ירא פן יתפרסם הדבר למלכות.

תוספות מגילה טו

Tosafot asks why Mordechai could not have divorced Esther to avoid the entire halachic problem of her approaching the king! He answers that the divorce (requiring witnesses) would have made the matter far too public and ruined the plan.

 $^{20. \ \} See \ https://www.nli.org.il/he/newspapers/mar/1952/10/20/01/article/1/?e=-----he-20-1--img-txlN\%7ctxTl-------1 for the full text of the newspaper.$

^{21.} For a broader discussion of the use of Midrashic sources in halacha see Rabbi Immanuel Bernstein, *Learning Halacha from Aggadah*, The Journal of Halacha and Contemporary Society Number LXX (Fall 2015) p47. Much of the material in this source sheet was taken from that article.

מה שכתוב בהגדה *ואני כאשר אבדתי אבדתי אבדתי* – כשם שאבדתי מבית אבא כך אבדתי ממך. הקשו בתוס' יגרשנה ויחזירנה אחר כך שאינה אסורה לו מחמת זנות ותירלו שהיה ירא לגרשה לפי שכל מעשה הגט בעדים וירא שמא יתפרסם ויודע הדבר למלך. ואינו נכון בעיני! ויכתוב לה בכתב ידו שהוא כשר דאורייתא וטוב היה לו לעשות כן דהא אסתר היכי עבדה הכי דהשתא ברלונה נבעלת ותהרג ואל תעבור? ואלו היה מגרשה בכתב ידו לא היתה עוברת על אסור עריות החמור ולא היתה נאסרת למרדכי! אלא שדברי אגדה הן ואין משיבין עליהן.

חידושי הרשב"א מגילה טו.

The Rashba rejects this answer of Tosafot since, on a Torah level the witnesses were not necessary²²! His conclusion is that one cannot ask halachic questions on aggadic material.

ובשביל כך אמרו גם כן (ירושלמי חגיגה פ"א ה"ח) אין למדין הלכה מחוך האגדה, כי לא נחברר על ידי קושיות וחשובות, שאז היה ראוי ללמוד הלכה מחוך האגדה, אבל כיון שלא נחברר בבירור, אין למדין הלכה מחוך אגדה. אמנם מה שאמרו אין למידין הלכה מחוך דברי אגדה, ואין משיבין באגדה, הוא עוד דבר חכמה, כי ההלכה הוא הלכה למעשה אינו הלכה למעשה אינו הלכה ממור. אבל דבר שאינו הלכה למעשה, כמו דברי אגדה, דבר זה אף שאינו לגמרי כך, רק בלד מה בלבד. והנה החורה יש לה כמה פנים, מכל מקום דבר שהוא הלכה למעשה אינו רק פנים אחד. לכך אמר שאין מקשין ושואלין בדברי אגדה, ואין למדין הלכה מדברי אגדה. וזהו פירוש האמיתי, אין ספק בו. אבל שלא יהיו האגדות דברי חורה כמו שאר חורה שנאמרה מסיני, האומר כך אין לו חלק בעולם הבא. וזה מוכח מכל אשר הביא הוא לראיה, שעל ידי האגדות מכיר בוראו, שהם דברי חכמה אלקית למי שמבין את דבריהם, לא למי שאין לו חכמה ודעת כלל, ואינו משיג רק דברים הגשמיים אשר הם לפניו, אבל דברי חכמים הם השגה עמוקה מאוד.

ספר באר הגולה באר השישי פרק טו

The Maharal clarifies that, whilst Midrashim are certainly a part of Torah MiSinai²³, they are not to be used as a source for halacha since they speak with multiple voices²⁴ and in terms which do not have the clear-cut clarity of halachic discourse. The critical analysis of halachic psak - with penetrating questions and answers - is not the method or language of aggadata.

.... אך עתה שבתי וראיתי שאין למדין הלכה ממדרש רבות

תוספות יום טוב מסכת ברכות פרק ה משנה ד'

40. המדרשים והאגדות טיקר כוונתם על המוסר ועל הרמזים ועל המשלים שבהם והכל טיקר הדת, אבל אין טיקר כוונתם על פסקי הלכות לכן אין למדים מהם לפסק הלכה כלל. ויפה כתב תוי"ט שם ...

שו"ת נודע ביהודה מהדורא תניינא - יורה דעה סימן קסא

The position that one should not learn halacha from midrash is quoted by many later commentators. However, there are notable exceptions where halacha is indeed learnt out from aggadic sources²⁵.

• In the final shiur (Part 3) we will b'eH address two further halachic issues which are often raised to justify Torah scholars not being drafted to the army - (i) because they do not require protection and are therefore exempted from contribution; and (ii) that they are involved in the mitzva of Torah learning and therefore exempted from a separate mitzva of fighting in the army - 'osek bamitzva patur min hamitzva'.

^{22.} Tosafot may disagree with this position - see lyun Ya'akov ibid.

^{23.} With all the complexity that this concept implies, in terms of the origins and development of different aspects of Torah - see https://rabbimanning.com/index.php/audio-shiurim/halacha/mekorot-hatorah/

^{24.} The Maharal implies that, when it comes to halacha, there are not multiple truths but one truth. This is itself the subject of a major debate. For more on this see https://rabbimanning.com/index.php/audio-shiurim/halacha/mekorot-hatorah/- shiurim 22 and 23.

^{25.} See https://outorah.org/p/46735/ for a fuller presentation of these ideas.